

Clackamas County Coordinating Committee (C-4)
Thursday – November 4, 2010
Approved February 3, 2011

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES BUILDING
150 Beaver Creek Road – Auditorium

Attendance –

Members: **Clackamas County:** Charlotte Lehan; **CPOs:** Charlene DeBruin (Eagle Creek Barton) **Fire Districts:** Dave McTeague (CCFD1); **Gladstone:** Hal Busch; **Hamlets:** Mike Wagner (Mulino) & Bill Merchant (Beaver Creek Alt.); **Happy Valley:** Lori DeRemer; **Molalla:** Glen Boreth; **NCCC:** Wilda Park; **Oregon City:** Daphne Wuest; **Sandy:** Jeremy Pietzold; **Sanitary District:** Paul Savas & William Wild (Alt.), both Oak Lodge Sanitary; **West Linn:** Jody Carson; **Wilsonville:** Tim Knapp, **Water District:** Dick Jones (Oak Lodge) & Ron Blake (Sunrise, Alt.); **Villages:** Bob Reeves; **Special Dist. Reps. to MPAC:** Patricia Holloway

Staff: Jim Austin; Christine Roth; Troy Rayburn; Gary Schmidt, and Mary JO Cartasegna

Guests: Simon DeBruin; Thelma Haggemiller; Sue Hansen; Kim Anderson; John Valley; Kami Kehoe; Jim Knapp; Jan Lee, and Sue Heublein;

1. Welcome/Introductions

Meeting called to order and chaired by Commissioner Charlotte Lehan. CL provided opening comments and framed discussion regarding possible restructure. Self-introductions. 11 of 23 voting members present – no quorum.

2. Retreat Recap & Review

Gary Schmidt provided a brief overview of the Retreat for those who were not there; noted that it was a positive event and that it helped identify areas for improvement. Copies of the original, group notes were displayed around the room. Reproduced copies were distributed to committee members.

3. Approval of Retreat Notes

No quorum. Charlene DeBruin requested it be clarified on page 1, item 1, under “Changes” that “Information distribution/dissemination – less” was intended to refer to redundancy/duplication as opposed to actually less information distribution. Bill Merchant requested that the notes reflect that there was not a quorum at the retreat.

4. Discussion of a possible C4 restructure

Gary started and facilitated discussion regarding possible restructure options that might better address everyone's interests and concerns. Members expressed desire to build/continue upon last year's efforts and discussions. Subcommittees would allow for more in-depth analysis by parties that are particularly interested in specific items. However, there was concern that separate discussions by smaller groups would result in less input by others. Cities reps expressed desire to have coordinated more on legislative matters of shared interest. Discussion and consideration regarding the optimal number of subcommittees and how often they would need to meet; depends on the items identified by group. How would caucuses or subcommittee membership be decided; everyone should be notified and invited to attend all though. Discussion regarding how frequently the full group should meet. Some were concerned about diminished communications/relationships if less than quarterly. C4 is like the County diner table with everyone from the table coming together. Can C4 be all things to all its members under the current structure? - *Additional members present; Quorum met.* - Larger body is good for discussion/input gathering, but you tend to get less real work done. C4 was originally formed to help address issues of concurrency and allow jurisdictions a forum to coordinate regarding JPAC and MPAC. Still just talking; need to do something.

Jody Carson made motion to adopt option 3 on the handout, with further details to be worked out. Seconded by Tim Knapp. Discussion: loss of cross pollination; length of meetings; agenda building; timing; make-up of groups; level of staff support – that will be up to county to decide. This vote/recommendation and staffing discussions will be brought to BCC and Admin for consideration.

Question called by Paul Savas. Quorum present 13 of 25. Vote: 7 ayes; 6 nays.

It was noted that the second Thursday in December is not a good date as it is a holiday and there are other meetings/events scheduled. Executive committee and staff will weigh options and will generate alternate plan; may mean canceling December meeting.

Gary Schmidt noted that while there is a quorum it would be an ideal time to approve the notes from the Retreat. Motion to adopt notes made by Mike Wagner and seconded by Jody Carson. Vote: Unanimous.

5. 2011 Goal Setting: "Phase 3"

Gary walked through the goal setting notes (originals displayed around the room). It was noted that successful achievement of goals is helped by selecting a few focused ones. What is on the sheets/notes is limited in description, they are just topics. Need to further define before we can decide. It was suggested that if further defined that staff could create an e-mail poll and send it to the group

The scheduled end of the meeting is approaching. Gary suggested continuation with goal setting discussion at a future meeting, perhaps after quarterly/subcommittee issues are settled; structure changes may affect goal selection.

6. "One Minute Update" & Election Results Roundtable

Everyone. Wilda Park asked with we were planning to schedule a meeting with the new legislative delegates like we did several years ago. The chamber is scheduling one. It was noted that since the legislature will be recessing the last half of January that this might present an opportunity for such a meeting. Legislative staff to get involved and consider options

7. Adjournment: 8:30 pm