

Clackamas County Coordinating Committee (C-4)  
Thursday – August 4, 2011  
*Approved – November 3, 2011*

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES BUILDING  
150 Beaver Creek Road – Auditorium

**Attendance –**

**Members:** **Clackamas County:** Paul Savas (Co-chair); **Canby:** Randy Carson (Alt.); **CPOs:** Charlene DeBruin (Eagle Creek Barton) and Tom Mack (Boring – Alt.); **Estacada:** Glen James (Alt.); **Hamlets:** Mike Wagner (Mulino) and Sue Heublein (Molalla Prarie); **Gladstone:** Hal Busch; **Lake Oswego:** Donna Jordan; **Milwaukie:** Joe Loomis; **Molalla:** Deborah Rogge; **NCCC:** Wilda Parks; **Oregon City:** Betty Mumm; **Sanitary Districts:** William Wild (Oak Lodge) and Jim Martin (Oak Lodge – Alt.); **West Linn:** Jody Carson; **Wilsonville:** Tim Knapp; **Villages:** Bob Reeves.

**Staff:** Gary Schmidt, Jared Anderson, Chris Roth

**Guests:** Simon DeBruin (Eagle Creek Barton CPO), Mary Lynn Jacob (Molalla), Warren Jones (Hamlet of Mulino), Bill Merchant (Hamlet of Beaver Creek), Mark Ottenad (Wilsonville), Sally Quimby (Stafford Hamlet), Jason Tuck (Happy Valley), Ken Ray (Metro), Tim O'Brien (Metro), Carl Hosticka (Metro), Shirley Craddick (Metro), Dan Cooper (Metro), Thelma Haggemiller,

1. Welcome/Introductions

Meeting called to order and chaired by Co-chair Paul Savas. Self-introductions made. 15 of 23 voting members present – quorum established.

2. Approval of June Minutes

William Wild made a motion to amend the June 2, 2011 minutes to include the following justification to Special Districts' request to have a seat on the Cities Subcommittee: "Primary justification for the request is that Service Districts are governed by elected officials, they need to interact with their elected counterparts in cities, and they are cross-jurisdictional, serving thousands of residents in cities throughout the county." Motion approved and minutes amended.

3. Metro Growth Management Decision

Metro Councilor Carl Hosticka and Metro's Acting Chief Administrative Officer, Dan Cooper, described Metro's process for expanding the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). Metro has a legal obligation to assess, every five years, the region's capacity to accommodate the numbers of people anticipated to live or work inside the Metro urban growth boundary (UGB) over the

next 20 years. Analysis has identified a shortfall between current supply and forecasted demand for housing and large-site industrial parcels to support future needs. Councilor Hosticka and Mr. Cooper described the residential and industrial lands identified by Metro staff for possible inclusion in the UGB and the criteria used to evaluate potential options. The Metro Council is scheduled to vote on a final Growth Management Decision - specifying if and how the UGB will be expanded – on October 20, 2011.

Councilor Donna Jordan asked if areas not studied, or areas studied but not selected for UGB inclusion during this cycle would still be considered for inclusion in future growth management decisions. Mr. Cooper indicated that such areas would not be excluded from consideration.

Mayor Tim Knapp asked if data used to forecast land needs is based on current growth rates or past growth rates, which are stronger. Mr. Cooper noted that the long-range projections are averages and take into consideration estimated peaks and valleys in the growth cycle. These projections are revisited and revised every five years.

Commissioner Savas noted the jobs-housing imbalance in the county and the need to bring more jobs to Clackamas County in order to lessen commuting and create a more sustainable transportation network. Commissioner Savas suggested that, as a matter of regional equity, transportation impacts and the need for a jobs-housing balance should be considered when deciding which industrial parcels to include in any UGB expansion.

Charlene DeBruin asked if parcels considered for inclusion in the UGB are evaluated based on their projected transportation impacts. Mr. Cooper noted that parcels under consideration must be well connected to the transportation network to avoid negative impacts on the transportation system.

#### 4. 2011 Retreat Planning & Agenda

John Morgan, retreat facilitator, presented a draft retreat agenda to committee members for feedback and comment. Mr. Morgan noted that the primary focus of the retreat will be exploring how the County can meet its transportation needs both in terms of policy and funding. Additional time will be provided to discuss the committee structure and finalize goals for a committee work plan.

Committee members expressed a desire to expand that agenda to address 1) how county jurisdictions and agencies can increase coordination to have a stronger, more unified voice in regional affairs; and 2) the economic development needs of the county, which are closely tied to its transportation needs. Members also expressed a desire for stronger coordination and information-sharing between C4 and ODOT as the committee carries out its work plan.

Emphasis was placed on limiting the number of speakers at the retreat and providing more time for small group work and large group dialogue.

The information provided will be used by Mr. Morgan to create a revised retreat agenda.

#### 5. "One Minute" Updates – All

#### 6. Adjournment: 8:30 pm